

Applicant : Sho Kuwamoto, et al.  
Serial No. : 09/875,549  
Filed : June 6, 2001  
Page : 8 of 11

Attorney's Docket No.: 07844-731001 / M142

### REMARKS

Reconsideration and allowance of the above identified patent application is hereby requested. Claims 9, 11-13, 15, 17-18, 27, 29-31, 33, and 35-46 are now in the application with claims 9, 27, 37, and 42 being independent. Claims 9, 11-13, 15, 17-18, 27, 29-31, 33, and 35-36 have been amended. Claims 1-8, 10, 14, 16, 19-26, 28, 32, and 34 have been canceled without prejudice. Claims 37-46 are new. The Applicants respectfully traverse the Examiner's rejections.

#### **Rejection Under 35 U.S.C. §112(2)**

Claims 9, 11-15, 17-18, 27, 29-33, and 35-36 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph, as allegedly being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which the Applicants regard as the invention. The Office specifically identifies the terms "first at least one object" and "second at least one object" appearing in claim 9, and "first first identifier" and "second first identifier" appearing in claim 12. Based on amendments to these claims, these rejections have been overcome and should be withdrawn. Further, the rejection of claims 14 and 32 has been obviated by the cancellation of these claims without prejudice.

#### **Rejection Under 35 U.S.C. §102**

Claims 1, 6-7, 19, and 24 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102 as allegedly being anticipated over U.S. Patent No. 5,907,837 to Ferrel et al. These rejections have been obviated by the cancellation of these claims without prejudice.

#### **Rejection Under 35 U.S.C. §103(a)**

Claims 2-4 and 20-22 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as allegedly being unpatentable over Ferrel et al. in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,764,890 to Glasser et al. Claims 5, 23, and 25 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as allegedly being unpatentable over Ferrel et al. in view of U.S. Patent No. 6,073,163 to Clark et al. Claims 8 and 26 stand rejected under 35

Applicant : Sho Kuwamoto, et al.  
Serial No. : 09/875,549  
Filed : June 6, 2001  
Page : 9 of 11

Attorney's Docket No.: 07844-731001 / M142

U.S.C. §103(a) as allegedly being unpatentable over Ferrel et al. Claims 14 and 32 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as allegedly being unpatentable over Ferrel et al. in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,295,256 to Bapat and further in view of Clark et al. These rejections have been obviated by the cancellation of these claims without prejudice.

Claims 9, 11-13, 15, 17-18, 27, 29-31, 33, and 35-36 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as allegedly being unpatentable over Ferrel et al. in view of Bapat. These contentions are respectfully traversed.

The Applicants' claim 9 calls for (underlining added for emphasis) "associating an item of information appearing between the start identifier and the end identifier with the first object." The Office acknowledges (Action of February 8, 2006, page 7) that Ferrel fails to explicitly teach that a plurality (or pair) of identifiers corresponds to one object, but asserts that Bapat teaches an object that is associated with a pair of object identifiers. Bapat, however, discloses (Col. 47, lines 6-12) that an identifier pair is used to specify a unique object, because "...the object identifier for a given type of object is unique only within all other objects of that type." As such, Bapat merely indicates that a plurality of identifiers can be used to identify an object, such that the combination of identifiers is unique.

Unlike Ferrel and Bapat, claim 9 is directed to associating an object with an item of information that appears between the start and end identifiers. Thus, the start and end identifiers serve as delimiters to identify the item of information that is associated with the object. Neither Ferrel nor Bapat, taken separately or in combination, disclose that an item of information appears between a start identifier and an end identifier. Moreover, Ferrel and Bapat do not disclose, teach, or suggest that an item of information identified in such a manner is associated with an object comprising server side code. For at least these reasons, independent claim 9 is allowable over Ferrel in view of Bapat. As claims 11-13, 15, and 17-18 depend from claim 9, these dependent claims also are allowable for at least the reasons discussed with respect to claim 9.

Applicants' claim 27 similarly calls for (underlining added for emphasis) computer readable program code devices configured to cause a computer to "associate an item of information appearing between the start identifier and the end identifier with the first object."

Applicant : Sho Kuwamoto, et al.  
Serial No. : 09/875,549  
Filed : June 6, 2001  
Page : 10 of 11

Attorney's Docket No.: 07844-731001 / M142

For the reasons discussed with respect to claim 9, Ferrel and Bapat do not disclose, teach, or suggest that an item of information appearing between a start identifier and an end identifier is associated with an object. Ferrel and Bapat also do not disclose that an object comprises server side code. Therefore, independent claim 27 also is allowable over Ferrel in view of Bapat. As claims 29-31, 33, and 35-36 depend from claim 27, these dependent claims also are allowable for at least the reasons discussed with respect to claim 27.

Applicants' claims 37 and 42 call for (underlining added for emphasis) "identifying a plurality of server side code delimiters associated with the original file; executing one or more server side code instructions corresponding to the identified server side code delimiters to generate server side code results; and inserting the server side code results into the working file." None of the references cited in the Action of February 8, 2006 disclose, teach, or suggest these elements.

Ferrel (Abstract) is directed to an information retrieval system that utilizes an information retrieval search to locate matching stories. Ferrel does not disclose using a plurality of server side code delimiters that correspond to one or more server side code instructions. Bapat (Abstract) is directed to a translator that facilitates storing persistent objects as records in a relational database. Bapat also does not disclose using a plurality of server side code delimiters that correspond to one or more server side code instructions.

Further, Clark (Abstract) is directed to dividing the execution of an application between a client node and a network node other than the client. Clark does not disclose using a plurality of server side code delimiters that correspond to one or more server side code instructions. Clark discloses (Col. 5, lines 46-57) only that server side code resides and executes on a server. Additionally, Glasser (Abstract) is directed to adding a secured network server to an existing network. Glasser also does not disclose using a plurality of server side code delimiters that correspond to one or more server side code instructions. Moreover, Glasser does not disclose executing a server side code instruction.

Therefore, no combination of Ferrel, Bapat, Clark, and Glasser discloses, teaches, or suggests executing a server side code instruction that corresponds to a plurality of server side

Applicant : Sho Kuwamoto, et al.  
Serial No. : 09/875,549  
Filed : June 6, 2001  
Page : 11 of 11


Attorney's Docket No.: 07844-731001 / M142

code delimiters in order to generate server side code results or inserting such server side code results into a file. For at least these reasons, independent claims 37 and 42 are allowable over any combination of Ferrel, Bapat, Clark, and Glasser. As claims 38-41 depend from claim 37 and claims 43-46 depend from claim 42, these dependent claims also are allowable for at least the reasons discussed with respect to claims 37 and 42.

Please apply a fee of \$120 for an extension of time for 1 month, and any other applicable charges or credits, to Deposit Account No. 06-1050.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: June 8, 2006

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
William E. Hunter  
Reg. No. 47,671

Fish & Richardson P.C.  
PTO Customer No. 021876  
12390 El Camino Real  
San Diego, California 92130  
Telephone: (858) 678-5070  
Facsimile: (858) 678-5099